

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/632,101	CIUFOLINI ET AL.	

<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
Laura L. Stockton, Ph.D.	1626	

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** 80

(1) Laura L. Stockton, Ph.D. (3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Rouget F. Henschel {Reg. No. 39,221}. (4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 24 April 2008

**Time:** 5:37pm

**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant  Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes  No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

69, 83 and 94

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

See *Continuation Sheet*

**Part III.**

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Laura L. Stockton/  
 Primary Examiner

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner called Applicant's representative for permission to: (1) remove reference to structure (e) in claim 69, line 2; (2) add a space after "(iV)" under the definition of R(7) in claim 83 (page 12, line 4 from top of page); and (3) add "pendant basic nitrogen" before "functionality" in claim 94 on page 18, sixth line from the bottom of the page.

/LLS/  
4/24/2008